Smart Contract 보안의 흥미로운 관점들

2018-09-18 카이스트 정보보호대학원 세미나

이종협

Blockchain intro

Bitcoin

Transaction Model



State + account model







+ EVM (Ethereum Virtual Machine)

<u>Hyperledger</u> Framework



Smart contract



- 믿지 않는 사용자간의 agreement + coordination - 블록체인에 복잡한 기능을 제공



Solidity code



Smart contracts

(Money!)

Vending **Distributed** machine objects Threads using concurrent objects in shared memory Balance Storage

어떻게 볼 것인가?

Secure execution (External)



Blockchain에서 Smart contract란 어떤 의미인가?



Academic Pedigree



from "Bitcoin's academic pedigree" Narayanan et al.

Smart contracts - category



from "an empirical analysis of smart contracts" Bartoletti et al.

Smart contract lifecycle



Ethereum Virtual Machine



Redundantly parallel

Turing complete!

Ethereum Virtual Machine



EVM internals - GAS



EVM assembly code

PUSH 0
DUP1
PUSH 100
EXP
DUP2
SLOAD
DUP2
PUSH FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
MUL
NOT
AND
SWAP1
DUP4
PUSH FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
AND
MUL
OR
SWAP1
SSTORE
POP

EVM internals - data



EVM internals - data

EVM instructions - "Yellow paper"

			(pop) In	(push) out	
Val	lue	Mnemonic	δ	α Description	
0	x00	STOP	0	0 Halts execution.	
0:	x01	ADD	2	1 Addition operation. $\mu'[0] = \mu [0] + \mu [1]$	ч Ц
02	x02	MUL	2	1 Multiplication operation. $\mu'_{s}[0] \equiv \mu_{s}[0] \times \mu_{s}[1]$	μ
0x	:51	MLOAD	1 1	Load word from memory. $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{s}}'[0] \equiv \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{m}}[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{s}}[0] \dots (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{s}}[0] + 31)]$ $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{i}}' \equiv \max(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{i}}, \lceil (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{s}}[0] + 32) \div 32 \rceil)$	
0:	x54	SLOAD	1 1	Load word from storage. $\mu'_{s}[0] \equiv \sigma[I_{a}]_{s}[\mu_{s}[0]]$	
				μ: Machine state σ: World state	

ADD

μ'[0] : a+b μ'[1] : c

Execution model

Function call handling

Function call과 fall back

)[0:4]

Fallback function

EVM internals - control

무엇이 문제인가?

- 공격자가 즉각적인 reward를 얻는다.
- Immutable!
- 개발자들에게도 생소한 execution model

Parity **MultiSig** Wallet

Hackers Seize \$32 Million in Ethereum in Parity Wallet Breach

ah Wilmoth on 20/07/2012

Advertisement

왜 해킹의 대상이 되는가?

- Smart contract는 기본적으로 항상 online + open

- Solidity의 abstraction과 실제 EVM과의 mismatch

<u>Smart contract를 작성한다는 것은..</u>

I want you to write a program that has to run in a concurrent environment under Byzantine circumstances where any adversary can invoke your program with any arguments of their choosing. The environment in which your program executes (and hence any direct or indirect environmental dependencies) is also under adversary control. If you make a single exploitable mistake or oversight in the implementation, or even in the logical design of the program, then either you personally or perhaps the users of your program could lose a substantial amount of money. Where your program will run, there is no legal recourse if things go wrong. Oh, and once you release the first version of your program, you can never change it. It has be right first time.

<u>취약점?</u>

from "ZEUS: Analyzing Safety of Smart Contracts" Kalra et al.

Smart contract 취약점

Logic error	- prodigal SC - suicidal SC - greedy SC - posthumous SC	(1) (2) (3) (4)	if(gameHasEnded winner.send(10 prizePaidOut = }
	- DoS (w/ deadlock)	(1)	while (balance >
	- unprotected functions - reentrancy	(2) (3) (4)	persons[pa payout = person persons[payoutC balance -= payo
Undefined behaviors	- short address - inconsistent view - force transfer - integer overflow	(5) (6)	<pre>payoutCursor_Ic }</pre>
	- DoS (w/ GAS)	(1) (2)	uint payout = for (var i = 0
EVM-level	 front running block state dep. (randomness) 	(3)	participants

from "ZEUS: Analyzing Safety of Smart Contracts" Kalra et al. Unchecked send && !prizePaidOut) { 000); // send a prize to the winner = True; ayoutCursor_Id_].deposit/100*115) { ns[payoutCursor_Id_].deposit/100*115; Cursor Id].EtherAddress.send(payout); out; d_++; Incorrect logic balance/participants.length;); i < participants.length; i++) s[i].send(payout); Integer overflow The Ethernaut: https://ethernaut.zeppelin.solutions

Smart contract가 이것을 위배하는가?

우선 지금 어떠한가?

```
modifier onlyFromWallet {
    require(msg.sender != walletAddress);
    _;
}
```

CVE-2018-14576

```
function mintTokens(address _to, uint256 _amount) {
    if (msg.sender != icoContractAddress) throw;
    if (restrictedAddresses[_to]) throw;
    if (balances[_to] + _amount < balances[_to]) throw;
    balances[_to] += _amount;
    supply += _amount;
    Mint(_to, _amount);
    Transfer(0x0, _to, _amount);
}</pre>
```

CVE-2018-14084

```
function sell(uint256 amount) public {
    require(this.balance >= amount * sellPrice);
    _transfer(msg.sender, this, amount);
    msg.sender.transfer(amount * sellPrice);
}
```

```
function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value) public {
    _transfer(msg.sender, _to, _value);
}
```

CVE-2018-10705

(https://blog.peckshield.com/2018/05/03/ownerAnyone/)

Randomness?

```
function StartGame(uint256 _number) public payable
    if(msg.value >= minBet && _number <= 10)</pre>
        GameHistory gameHistory;
        gameHistory.player = msg.sender;
        gameHistory.number = _number;
        log.push(gameHistory);
        if (_number == randomNumber)
            msg.sender.transfer(this.balance);
        }
        randomNumber = uint256( keccak256(now) ) % 10 + 1;
        prizeFund = this.balance;
    }
```

contract NumberLottery

Fairness?

```
for(uint i = 0 ; i < NO_OF_SEATS_BID; i++){</pre>
  // Only 1-14 for sale
  assert( 0 < \text{seats}[i] \& \& \text{seats}[i] < 15);
  var seatNumber = uint8(seats[i]);
  var valueBid = bids[i];
  var existingSeat = table[seatNumber];
  // Min increase 1 ether
  if (existingSeat.cost + 1 ether <= valueBid){</pre>
    //Bidder takes the seat
    existingSeat.owner = bidder;
     existingSeat.cost = valueBid;
  // else, money lost - medieval rules here
//Register how much the creator should have
creator_balance += 100 * bids.length;
// All money is stored in this contract until payout time
```

```
# '0x69f30401' for function 'bid(address,uint256[],uint256[])'
sig = "69f30401"
```

```
args = [ sig, #method
data = "".join(args)
```


무엇인가 근본적으로 잘못되었다.

Problem shapes

(http://matt.might.net/articles/problem-shapes/)

Problem shapes

(http://matt.might.net/articles/problem-shapes/)

Science vs. Engineering

받아들일 것인가?

무엇을 어디에서 부터 고민하는가?

<u>Smart contract에 대한 현재 접근 방법</u>

<u>기존 방식에서의 변화</u>

"Symbolic execution"

"Formal verification"

"Model checking"

"Domain-specific ..."

<u>기존 방식의 연장선</u>

Code review (audit)

╋

Linter (static analysis)

+

Reversing?

C Start to compile
browser/ballot.sol:FactoryToken \$ Details Publis
Static Analysis raised 10 warning(s) that require
browser/ballot.sol:8:5: Warning: No visibility sg function totalSupply() constant returns (uint ^
<pre>browser/ballot.sol:12:5: Warning: No visibility # function balanceOf(address _owner) constant : ^</pre>
<pre>browser/ballot.sol:18:5: Warning: No visibility :X function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value ^</pre>
browser/ballot.sol:25:5: Warning: No visibility * function transferFrom(address _from, address ^
<pre>browser/ballot.sol:31:5: Warning: No visibility # function approve(address _spender, uint256 _v ^</pre>
<pre>browser/ballot.sol:36:5: Warning: No visibility # function allowance(address _owner, address _f ^</pre>
browser/ballot.sol:47:5: Warning: No visibility 🕊 function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value ~

Spanning multiple lines.

<u>(자동화된) 분석의 시작</u>

Vulnerabilities Scanners

Bug Type	Benchmark	MythrilPip 0.17.12	ManticoreGit 2018-05-18 18:01:09	OyentePip 0.2.7
Integer Overflow	minimal	True Positive	True Positive	False Negative
Integer Overflow	add	True Positive	True Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow mul		True Positive	True Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow path 1		True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>benign 1</u>	True Negative	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>benign 2</u>	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>multi-tx 1</u>	True Positive	False Negative	Unsupported
Integer Overflow	<u>multi-tx 2</u>	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>multi-tx 3</u>	True Positive	False Negative	Unsupported
Integer Overflow	storage inv	False Positive	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	symbolic storage 1	True Positive	True Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>symbolic</u> storage 2	True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	attribute store	False Positive	Analysis Failed	Unsupported
Integer Overflow	<u>mapping</u> <u>string key</u>	False Positive	Analysis Failed	Unsupported
Integer Overflow	fixed storage packing	True Negative	True Negative	Unsupported
	<u>bytes</u>			

Integer Overflow	<u>parameter</u>	False Positive	Analysis Failed	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	static array	True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>mapping</u> words	True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>mapping</u> structs 1	True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	mapping structs 2	True Negative	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>mapping static</u> arr	True Negative	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Integer Overflow	<u>dynamic array</u>	False Positive	True Negative	<u>Unsupported</u>
Callback Effect- Free	dao	True Positive	False Negative	True Positive
Callback Effect- Free	dao fixed	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>	True Negative
Callback Effect- Free	effect-free	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>	True Negative
Assertion	minimal	True Positive	True Positive	True Positive
Assertion	constructor	False Negative	Analysis Failed	False Negative
Assertion	symbolic	True Positive	True Positive	True Positive
Assertion	require	True Negative	True Negative	True Negative
Assertion	<u>multi tx 1</u>	False Positive	Analysis Failed	False Positive
Assertion	<u>multi tx 2</u>	<u>Unsupported</u>	Analysis Failed	<u>Unsupported</u>
Eth Tx-Order Dependence	minimal 1	True Positive	False Negative	True Positive
Eth Tx-Order Dependence	minimal 2	False Positive	Unsupported	True Negative
Eth Tx-Order Dependence	<u>multi tx 1</u>	False Positive	<u>Unsupported</u>	False Positive
Eth Tx-Order Dependence	puzzle	True Positive	Analysis Failed	True Positive

https://consensys.net/diligence/evm-analyzer-benchmark-suite/

Automatic Exploit Generation

Formal Verfication

New Programming Languages

"Mainstream 언어는 적합하지 않다." Things contracts require that regular code does not:

```
void add balance( account name payer, account name to, uint64 t q ) {
                                                                                                   * Very small code size
                          auto toitr = accounts.find( to );
                          if( toitr == accounts.end() ) {
                                                                                                   * Much higher focus on safety
                            accounts.emplace( payer, [&]( auto& a ) {
                               a.owner = to:
                               a.balance = q;
                            });
                                                                                                     (misleading code very bad)
                          } else {
                            accounts.modify( toitr, 0, [&]( auto& a ) {
C++ (EOS)
                                                                                                   * Perfect determinism
                               a.balance += q;
                               eosio assert( a.balance >= q, "overflow detected" );
                            });
                  void transfer( account name from, account name to, uint64 t quantity ) {
                     require auth( from );
                     const auto& fromacnt = accounts.get( from );
                     eosio assert( fromacnt.balance >= quantity, "overdrawn balance" );
                     accounts.modify( fromacnt, from, [&]( auto& a ){ a.balance -= quantity; } );
                     add balance( from, to, quantity );
                 @public
                 def transfer( to : address, value : uint256(wei)) -> bool:
                     sender: address = msg.sender
Vyper
                    # Make sure sufficient funds are present implicitly through overflow protection
                    self.balances[ sender] = self.balances[ sender] - value
                     self.balances[ to] = self.balances[ to] + value
                     # Fire transfer event
                                                                                                            . . .
                     log.Transfer( sender, to, value)
```

return True

(From Vitalik Buterin's tweet)

```
* Much higher focus on auditability
     Bamboo, Babbage, Liquidity,
     Michelson, OWL, Plutus
     Rholang, Scilla, Simplicity
     Solidity, Typecoin, Vyper
```

Crypto-economic Solution

Updating smart contracts

Logic과 data의 분리

감사합니다.

jonghyup@gmail.com